

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,820	08/28/2003	Thomas J. Patire	HARAL-5	5988
23599	23599 7590 08/10/2004		EXAMINER	
•	VHITE, ZELANO & B ENDON BLVD.	ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE		
SUITE 1400	ENDON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			3676	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
\ / Office Action Summany		10/649,820	PATIRE ET AL.				
υY	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u>, </u>		Gary Estremsky	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
		s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmei	nt(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/649,820

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities
 Claim 1; line 7 - --to- should be inserted after "adapted".

Claims 3, 10 - "apearature" should be replaced with -aperture-.:

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear if "the keeper" of claim 3 refers to "the keeper" mounted to the the door jamb (not previously positively recited as part of the invention) or to some further defined structure of the "base plate".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/649,820 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-8, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 2,461,398 to Sands.

Sands '398 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including: a "base plate" - 10, a "hook portion" - 11, a "pivot" - at 21 where limitation of "disposed" does not define specific arrangement that might be relied upon to patentably define from the reference arrangement, a "latching plate" - 22, a "locking foot" - 26, a "slider" - 19, having a "Detent" - including 23,24,27,28.

As regards claim 3, Sands '398 teaches "aperture" - 24, "detent on the slider" - 28, "locking surface on the base plate" - surface engaged to lock position.

As regards claim 4, 'as best understood', the locking structure (13,14) of the base plate 10 reads on "keeper" and engaging portion of part 23 reads on "lip".

As regards claim 7, Sands '398 teaches a "tab" - at 33.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/649,820

Art Unit: 3676

U.S. Pat. No. 2,461,398 to Sands in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,043,578 to Downs.

Sands '398 teaches the claimed invention except for the planar doorengaging surface at 26 being "covered by a resilient pad". Downs '578 discloses
a similar device and teaches that it is well known in the art to provide a resilient
pad (32) on the door-engaging surface. It would have been obvious to one of
ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the device of Sands
'398 with a resilient pad as taught by Downs '578 in order to increase traction on
the door and prevent scratching of the door as well known in the art. One of
ordinary skill in the art would have more than a reasonable expectation of
success since the proposed modification would not otherwise affect function of
the device.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 710,118 to Prud'homme.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 2,786,705 to Stalmer.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 3,411,817 to Carver.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 4,382,621 to Lum.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 703 308-0494. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:30-6.

Art Unit: 3676

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Will can be reached on 703 308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676